

## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

1	BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORTORATION COMMISSION
2 3	MIKE GLEASON Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED
4	Commissioner JEFF HATCH-MILLER  DEC 17 2008
5	Commissioner KRISTIN K. MAYES  DOCKETED BY
6	Commissioner GARY PIERCE
7	Commissioner
8	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NO. T-04190A-08-0296 OF QWEST LD CORP. FOR APPROVAL \
9	TO INCREASE MAXIMUM RATES ON \ 70642
10	THE QWEST 15 CENT SINGLE RATE DECISION NO  CALLING PLAN ORDER
11	
12	)
13	
14 15	Open Meeting December 16 and 17, 2008 Phoenix, Arizona
16	BY THE COMMISSION:
17	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
18	1. Qwest LD Corp. ("QLDC") is certificated to provide interexchange
19	telecommunications service as a public service corporation in the State of Arizona.
20	2. On June 6, 2007, QLD filed tariff revisions to increase the maximum and actual
21	monthly rates in its Arizona Tariff No. 2. This monthly charge is for a long distance plan which is
22	applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls.
23	3. QLDC proposes to increase its maximum and actual monthly per account charge for
24	the QLDC 15 Cent Single Rate (residential) Calling Plan from \$.99 to \$1.99:
25	Qwest LD Corp. Arizona Tariff No. 2
26	Section 3, Page 22, Release 5[2]
27	Price List No. 2, Section 3, Page 12, Release 5[1]

28

2

4

5

6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

28

Current Maximum and Actual Monthly Rate Per Account

Proposed Maximum and Actual Monthly Rate Per Account

QLDC 15 Cent Single Rate Plan

Calling Plan

\$.99

\$1.99

- 4. These monthly charges are related to the subject of a proceeding that resulted in a Settlement Agreement approved by Decision No. 67745 on April 11, 2005. In the Settlement Agreement, Qwest acknowledged and agreed to accept on an interim basis, without prejudice, and until further Order of the Commission or until the conclusion of the Generic Docket<sup>1</sup>, Staff's interpretation of the Arizona statutes and rules regarding tariffing of monthly recurring charges for long distance plans which are applicable to both interstate and intrastate calls. The Generic Docket was required as part of the Settlement Agreement.
- 5. Staff and Qwest LD Corp., its parent, and affiliated companies (which includes QCC) or their successors ("Qwest" or "the Company"), (the Parties") agreed to a settlement of the Complaint and Petition for Order to Show Cause Docket ("Docket") filed by Staff and currently pending before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission"), in Docket No. T-04190A-04-0904-(hereinafter referred to as "the Staff Complaint"). The Staff Complaint alleges that Qwest LD Corp. was required to file tariff and/or price list revisions with the Commission prior to implementing a \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plan, which applies to both interstate and intrastate long distance calls made by Arizona customers. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement were intended to resolve all of the issues associated with Qwest's implementation of the \$2.99 surcharge as part of its Qwest Choice Long Distance calling plans as set forth in the Staff Complaint.
- 6. On December 19, 2006, Staff filed its report in the Generic Docket. In its report, Staff recommended:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In The Matter Of The Generic Investigation Of Tariffing And Notice Requirements For Combined Interstate And Intrastate Calling Plans, Docket No. RT-00000J-05-0329

"...that QLDC be given the option of (1) listings all long distance rates and charges within its Arizona intrastate tariffs or (2) denoting within its Arizona intrastate tariffs those charges and rates which are listed in QLDC's interstate tariffs."

- "...that QLDC be given 90 days to modify its Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly."
- "...that all intrastate long distance providers comply with the above Staff interpretation of tariffing rules and statutes. Intrastate long distance providers should be given 90 days to modify their Arizona intrastate tariffs accordingly, if necessary."
- 7. A decision has not been reached by the Commission in the Generic Docket. Until such time as the Commission makes a determination in the Generic Docket, the provisions of the Settlement Agreement continue to apply.
- 8. Since QLDC proposes to increase the maximum rate for a component of a service that has been classified as competitive under the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Service Rules, Arizona Administrative Code Rule ("A.A.C.") R14-2-1110 applies to QLDC's proposal. QLDC provided the information required by A.A.C. R14-2-1110 to allow Staff to determine the potential affects of approval of the filing.
- 9. The proposed rate increases contained in this filing are for services that have been classified as competitive by the Commission and are now subject to the Commission's Competitive Telecommunications Services Rules. Under those rules, rates for competitive services are generally not set according to rate of return regulation standards.
- 10. QLDC provided information in a confidential exhibit that indicated the Estimated Potential Additional Annual Revenues associated with this filing are less than \$2,000,000.
- 11. The approximate customer base for this interstate/intrastate service in mid-2008 was less than 200,000 residential customers.
- 12. The revenue increase associated with the proposed maximum rates is less than 10% of QLDC's 2007 intrastate revenues. The revenue increase also supports interstate long distance service as well as intrastate long distance service. Additionally, QLDC provided information indicating that its proposed rates are comparable to those of competitor calling plans.

7

6

8

10

12

11

13 14

1516

17

18

. . .

19

20

21

22

2324

- .

25

26

27

28

13. Staff obtained information regarding QLDC's fair value rate base. Because of the nature of the competitive market and other factors, a fair value analysis is not necessarily representative of the company's operations. Therefore, while Staff considered the fair value rate base information of QLDC, it did not accord that information substantial weight in it analysis of this matter.

- 14. Additionally, in its filing QLDC submitted evidence that notice to its customers was provided consistent with the Commission's requirements.
  - 15. Staff has recommended approval of this filing.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Qwest LD Corp. is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Qwest LD Corp. and over the subject matter of this Application.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed the tariff pages (copies of which are contained in the Commission's tariff files) and Staff's Memorandum dated November 25, 2008 concludes the tariff filing is reasonable, fair and equitable, and is therefore in the public interest.

. . .

...

...

3 ...

**70642** Decision No. \_\_\_\_

1	<u>ORDER</u>
2	THEREFORE ORDERED that the tariff filing be and hereby is approved.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.
4	BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
5	
6 7	LIMMUD
	CHAIRMAN COMMISSIONER
8	William De State Contraction of the state of
10	COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER COMMISSIONER
11	
12	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have
13	hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this
14	Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this / day of December, 2008.
15	
16	N/MA
- 1-7-	BRIAN C. McNEIL  EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
18	EAEQUITVE DIRECTOR 7
19	DISSENT:
20	
21	DISSENT:
22	EGJ:CLA:AFF:lhm\WVC
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	